

All information contained herein was provided by the member company whose territory relates to the statute and represents the view/practice of that member company and does not constitute legal advice.

10/31/2016									
Is the Participating Dentist is Limited to the Approved Fee									
State	Age Limitation denial	No payment on procedure due to patient and/or family maximum reached	Service rendered on a tooth that is not eligible (Ex - sealants)	Member's plan does not include coverage for this service	Eligibility denial	Waiting Period denial	Alternate Benefit provided (Ex - composite filling submitted and an alternate benefit of an amalgam is provided)	When you implemented the non-covered services policy, was it based on the claim's date of service or the processing date?	Effective Date of non-covered services law
AK	No	Yes	If the service is not covered under the contract, then AK does not hold the dentist to the MPA/ filed fee. If the service is covered and only being denied for frequency or maximum, then AK does hold the dentist to their filed fee.	No	No	Yes	Yes	Processing date	9/13/2010
AL	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
AR	No for age limitations. Yes for time limitations	Yes - It does not make a difference.	No	No	No	No	Yes - With one exception, composite to amalgam	N/A due to no change in current processing	3/22/2011
AZ	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Processing date	1/1/2011
CA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	1/1/2011
CO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	

State	Age Limitation denial	No payment on procedure due to patient and/or family maximum reached	Service rendered on a tooth that is not eligible (Ex - sealants)	Member's plan does not include coverage for this service	Eligibility denial	Waiting Period denial	Alternate Benefit provided (Ex - composite filling submitted and an alternate benefit of an amalgam is provided)	When you implemented the non-covered services policy, was it based on the claim's date of service or the processing date?	Effective Date of non-covered services law
CT	Yes, where the CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not apply. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.	Yes	Yes, where the CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not apply. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.	Yes, where the CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not apply. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.	No	Yes	Yes	As of processing date 01/01/2012: CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not allow holding DDS to maximum approved fee for non-covered services. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.	1/1/2012
DC	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	
DE	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
FL	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	7/1/2014
GA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	7/1/2011
HI	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No		
IA	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Processing date	5/17/2013
ID	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Effective 1/1/13	1/1/2013
IL	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Only if the original submitted code is included in the group's benefit plan. If not, we do not hold	Claims processed for an IL dentist cannot hold that dentist to the MPA for a service not included in the group benefit plan	1/1/2013
IN	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	
KS	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	7/1/2010
KY	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Legislation prohibiting dental insurers from holding providers to allowed fees for non-covered services KRS 304.17C-085	7/1/2012

State	Age Limitation denial	No payment on procedure due to patient and/or family maximum reached	Service rendered on a tooth that is not eligible (Ex - sealants)	Member's plan does not include coverage for this service	Eligibility denial	Waiting Period denial	Alternate Benefit provided (Ex - composite filling submitted and an alternate benefit of an amalgam is provided)	When you implemented the non-covered services policy, was it based on the claim's date of service or the processing date?	Effective Date of non-covered services law
LA*	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	1/1/2011
MA	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	We are delaying implementation until pending legislation in MA is finalized.	pending
MD	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	10/1/2011
ME	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Date of service	
MI	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	
MN	No	Yes, payment makes no difference	No	No	No	No	No	Processing date	8/1/2011
MO	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes		8/28/2013
MS*	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	7/1/2012
MT	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Processing date	7/2/2013
NC	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	7/21/2010
ND	No	Yes, payment makes no difference	No	No	No	No	No	Processing Date	8/1/2011
NE	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Date of service	7/15/2010
NH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	N/A due to no change in current processing.	
NJ	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Effective for contracts issued or renewed on 11-28-14 or thereafter. It is unclear as to whether "contracts" refers to participation agreements or coverage contracts. DDNJ intends to automatically apply the law to all dentists and to all insured contracts for dates of service 7-1-15 and thereafter	11/28/2014
NM	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	4/7/2011
NV	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
NY	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service	

State	Age Limitation denial	No payment on procedure due to patient and/or family maximum reached	Service rendered on a tooth that is not eligible (Ex - sealants)	Member's plan does not include coverage for this service	Eligibility denial	Waiting Period denial	Alternate Benefit provided (Ex - composite filling submitted and an alternate benefit of an amalgam is provided)	When you implemented the non-covered services policy, was it based on the claim's date of service or the processing date?	Effective Date of non-covered services law
OH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Date of service.	
OK	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Date of service	11/1/2010
OR	No	Yes	If the service is not covered under the contract, then OR does not hold the dentist to the MPA/ filed fee. If the service is covered and only being denied for frequency or maximum, then OR does hold the dentist to their filed fee.	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	3/18/2010
PA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	12/24/2012
PR	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	
RI	No	No, unless \$1 or more paid	No	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	6/18/2009
SC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	
SD	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	7/1/2010
TN	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	5/23/2011
TX	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	9/1/2011
UT	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
VA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	VA's contracts have been written to pay this way all along; nothing will be changing.	7/1/2010
VT	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Date of service	
WA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Varies	We cannot implement per WA state Substitute House Bill 2686	6/10/2010
WI	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Processing date	1/1/2014
WV	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
WY	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Date of service	7/1/2011